	Case 1:24-cv-00050-JLT-HBK Docume	ent 9 Filed 05/17/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IGNACIO LEMUS,	Case No. 1:24-cv-00050-JLT-HBK
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING TO A LIMITED EXTENT PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR
13	v.	EXTENSION OF TIME
14	VISALIA POLICE DEPARTMENT,	(Doc. No. 8)
15	Defendant.	JUNE 5, 2024 DEADLINE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's second Motion for Extension of Time, filed on May	
21	13, 2024. (Doc. No. 8). Plaintiff seeks a 60-day extension of time to file a response to the	
22	Court's March 26, 2024 Findings and Recommendations ("F&R") or file a First Amended	
23	Complaint ("FAC"). (<i>Id.</i> at 1). Plaintiff requests additional time because he is attempting to	
24	obtain a police report and other documents from the Visalia Police Department and attaches various documents reflecting his efforts to do so. (<i>Id.</i>). However, Plaintiff does not specify why	
25	the requested documents are necessary to file a First Amended Complaint. As the Court noted in	
26	its February 27, 2024 Screening Order, Plaintiff's single-paragraph Complaint failed to allege	
27	1 its regreating 21, 2024 betweening order, righted 8 single-paragraph complaint failed to allege	

facts as to any Defendant sufficient to state any cognizable federal claim. (See Doc. No. 4).

Case 1:24-cv-00050-JLT-HBK Document 9 Filed 05/17/24 Page 2 of 2

Under Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's Complaint need only include "a short and plain statement of the claim showing [he] is entitled to relief" Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). External evidence is not required to support a claim at the pleading stage. Moreover, it is not clear in what way obtaining documents from the Visalia Police Department will cure the deficiencies in Plaintiff's original Complaint and thus the Court denies his request for a 60-day extension of time to obtain those documents.

The Court will however afford Plaintiff a limited extension of time in which to file a First Amended Complaint and cure the deficiencies the Court cited in its February 27, 2024 Screening Order.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time (Doc. No. 6) is **GRANTED IN PART** to the extent set forth herein.
- 2. Plaintiff shall file his objections to the Court's March 26, 2024 Findings and Recommendations or file a First Amended Complaint **no later than June 5, 2024**.
- 3. If Plaintiff fails to timely comply with this Court Order or seek a further extension of time to comply, the Court's Findings and Recommendations to Dismiss Action for Failure to Prosecute and Obey Court Orders will be deemed ripe for consideration by the district court.

Dated: <u>May</u> 17, 2024

HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE